# Posterior Capsular Plication Constrains the Glenohumeral Joint by Drawing the Humeral Head Closer to the Glenoid and Resisting Abduction

Joseph P. DeAngelis,\* MD, Benjamin Hertz,<sup>†‡</sup> MSc, Michael T. Wexler,<sup>†‡</sup> BSc, Nehal Patel,<sup>†§</sup> MSc, Kempland C. Walley,<sup>†‡</sup> BSc, Ethan R. Harlow,<sup>†‡</sup> BSc, Ohan S. Manoukian,<sup>†||</sup> BSc, Aidin Masoudi,<sup>†</sup> MD, Ashkan Vaziri,<sup>§</sup> PhD, Arun J. Ramappa,\* MD, and Ara Nazarian,<sup>†¶</sup> PhD *Investigation performed at the Center for Advanced Orthopaedic Studies, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA* 

**Background:** Shoulder pain is a common problem, with 30% to 50% of the American population affected annually. While the majority of these shoulder problems improve, there is a high rate of recurrence, as 54% of patients experience persistent symptoms 3 years after onset.

**Purpose:** Posterior shoulder tightness has been shown to alter glenohumeral (GH) kinematics. Clinically, posterior shoulder contractures result in a significant loss of internal rotation and abduction (ABD). In this study, the effect of a posterior capsular contracture on GH kinematics was investigated using an intact cadaveric shoulder without violating the joint capsule or the rotator cuff.

Study Design: Controlled laboratory study.

**Methods:** Glenohumeral motion, humeral load, and subacromial contact pressure were measured in 6 fresh-frozen left shoulders during passive ABD from 60° to 100° using an automated robotic upper extremity testing system. Baseline values were compared with the experimental condition in which the full thickness of posterior tissues was plicated without decompressing the joint capsule.

**Results:** Posterior soft tissue plication resulted in increased compression between the humeral head and the glenoid (axial load) at 90° of ABD. Throughout ABD, the posterior contracture increased the anterior and superior moment on the humeral head, but it did not change the GH kinematics in this intact model. As a result, there was no increase in the subacromial contact pressure during ABD with posterior plication.

**Conclusion:** In an intact cadaveric shoulder, posterior contracture does not alter GH motion or subacromial contact pressure during passive ABD. By tightening the soft tissue envelope posteriorly, there is an increase in compressive load on the articular cartilage and anterior/superior force on the humeral head. These findings suggest that subacromial impingement in the setting of a posterior soft tissue contracture may result from alterations in scapulothoracic motion, not changes in GH kinematics.

**Clinical Relevance:** This investigation demonstrates that posterior capsular plication increases the axial load on the shoulder joint during ABD. While a significant difference from baseline was observed in the plicated condition, posterior capsular plication did not change GH motion or subacromial contact pressure significantly.

Keywords: shoulder biomechanics; motion analysis; subacromial space; plication; glenohumeral motion

Shoulder pain is a common problem, affecting 30% to 50% of the American population annually.<sup>19</sup> While the majority of these shoulder problems improve, there is a high rate of recurrence, as 54% of patients experience persistent symptoms 3 years after onset.<sup>19</sup> In 2008, rotator cuff pain and

injuries resulted in approximately 2 million consultations, and more than 53,000 surgical repairs were performed in the United States alone.  $^{40,42}$ 

Subacromial impingement is the most common disorder of the shoulder, accounting for 44% to 65% of all shoulder complaints.<sup>45</sup> As a condition, it encompasses various pathologies, including partial-thickness rotator cuff tears, rotator cuff tendinosis, calcific tendinitis, and subacromial bursitis, with associated pain resulting in the loss of

This open-access article is published and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - No Derivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/), which permits the noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction of the article in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this article without the permission of the Author(s). For reprints and permission queries, please visit SAGE's Web site at http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav.

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 3(8), 2325967115599347 DOI: 10.1177/2325967115599347 © The Author(s) 2015



Figure 1. Illustrations of the actual testing system with the (A) infrared (IR) cameras and (B) lower and upper frames.

function and disability.<sup>18,22</sup> In recalcitrant cases, a posterior capsule contracture has been found to result in altered shoulder kinematics, leading to dysfunction and disability.<sup>29</sup>

Posterior contracture results in a significant loss of internal rotation and abduction (ABD).<sup>10,29,34,38,39</sup> Harryman et al<sup>12</sup> demonstrated an increase in anterior and superior glenohumeral (GH) translation during passive forward flexion after tightening of the posterior capsule. Clinically, this problem leads to increased subacromial contact pressure by altering both GH motion and scapulothoracic (ST) motion. In a cadaveric study, Grossman et al<sup>11</sup> found a posterosuperior shift in the humerus after simulating a posterior capsular contracture. Similarly, Clabbers et al<sup>5</sup> and Anderson et al (Anderson K, Deng X-H, Johnson T, Altchek DW. "Biomechanical Analysis of Posterior Capsular Contracture of the Shoulder." Presented at American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine, 2001) reported that posterior contracture contributes to changes in the overhead athlete's motion. Additionally, Anderson et al, in a cadaveric study, demonstrated that changes in the overhead athlete can be attributed to the posterior capsule. In patients with persistent disability, surgical release of the posterior capsule has been shown to relieve pain.<sup>44</sup>

In prior studies, GH and ST motions were not quantified. Evidence suggests that impingement results from dysfunction in both the GH and ST joints.<sup>14,24,43</sup> Biomechanical investigations have shown that the position of the scapula is as important as soft tissue mobility in subacromial impingement.<sup>30</sup>

It is important to understand the interplay between scapulothoracic motion and rotator cuff pathology because it has important clinical implications as a result of the high incidence of shoulder-related injury and morbidity. Similarly, its treatment and prevention have significant economic consequences. No previous study has clearly demonstrated the cause-effect relationship between posterior capsular contracture and subacromial impingement because of improper methodology or experimental setting. Unfortunately, investigations well-positioned to research this problem have inconclusive results because by violating the joint capsule when manipulating the rotator cuff, the negative pressure within the GH joint is released, which disrupts the GH ligaments and thus alters GH and scapulothoracic kinematics.<sup>4,15,16,25,28</sup> Not only does this methodology alter the intricate interaction of the GH ligaments during motion, it decompresses the stabilizing benefit of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Address correspondence to Ara Nazarian, PhD, Center for Advanced Orthopaedic Studies, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, 330 Brookline Avenue, RN115, Boston, MA 02215, USA (email: anazaria@bidmc.harvard.edu).

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Center for Advanced Orthopaedic Studies, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, USA.

B.H., M.T.W., and N.P. contributed equally to the work; A.J.R. and A.N. contributed equally as senior authors to the work.

One or more of the authors has declared the following potential conflict of interest or source of funding: The authors would like to acknowledge the Medical Advisory Committee for Major League Baseball (A.N. and A.J.R.) and the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center for providing funding for this study. The authors would also like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation (N.P. and A.V.) for providing support.

concavity compression and it subjugates the GH motion with the effects of gravity. Additionally, manual manipulation of the shoulder during testing can introduce variability, and discontinuous motion analysis can lead to significant artifact and error.

In this investigation, the effect of posterior capsular contracture during passive ABD of an intact cadaveric shoulder was investigated through a simulated plication. GH kinematics, humeral load, and subacromial contact pressure were evaluated in normal and diseased states using a validated robotic apparatus with 7 degrees of freedom  $(DoF)^{8,41}$  to mediate reproducible motion trajectories of the upper extremity. Using this system, we hypothesized that a posterior capsular contracture would increase humeral load, increase subacromial contact pressure, and shift the center of GH motion anteriorly and superiorly.

# METHODS

### **Testing Apparatus**

A previously validated upper extremity testing system was used to evaluate each specimen.<sup>8,41</sup> This automated apparatus has precise control and renders a highly reproducible pattern of motion with 7 DoF while recording GH motion, humeral load, and subacromial contact pressure continuously.<sup>8</sup> The system consists of a lower frame that houses the cadaveric specimen and an upper frame to which the limb is attached (Figure 1). In this investigation, the lower frame rigidly fixed the scapula while the upper frame was programmed to create passive ABD. All axes employ actuator motion and can be programmed using a central controller to generate any motion trajectory within the system's limits.<sup>8,41</sup>

### Cadaveric Torsos

Six fresh-frozen left shoulders were obtained (Medcure Anatomical Tissue Bank) and inspected for rotator cuff integrity and associated morbidities. The specimens originated from white males,  $61 \pm 5$  years of age, with a mean height of  $180 \pm 10$  cm and mean body mass index of  $20.14 \pm 5.35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. All specimens were thawed at room temperature 2 days prior to testing. On the day of testing, the skin, subcutaneous fat, and deltoid muscle were removed, as described by Hartzler et al.<sup>13</sup> Each specimen was mounted rigidly through the body of the scapula. Retroreflective marker clusters were rigidly mounted in the humeral shaft and the spine of the scapula using a validated protocol.<sup>8,41</sup>

### **Testing Procedures**

For each specimen, ABD was tested in the plane of the scapular body from  $60^{\circ}$  to  $100^{\circ}$  ( $40^{\circ}$  range) (Figure 2). Three repetitions of ABD were performed to establish a baseline for GH motion, humeral load, and subacromial contact pressure. The posterior capsule was plicated, and 3 additional repetitions of ABD were performed to model the plicated state. Throughout testing, the specimens





were kept moist with physiologic 0.9% saline. No resting time was present between repetitions to limit hysteresis.

# **Posterior Plication**

A posterior plication was created using the technique described by Muraki et al.<sup>34</sup> In brief, a  $3 \times 3$ -cm square was created on the posterior shoulder approximately 1 cm medial to the tendon's insertion and 1 cm below the posterior edge of the acromion by internally and externally rotating the humerus. With the arm in neutral rotation, the capsule was imbricated using a No. 5 Ethibond suture (Ethicon US) with a 48-mm conventional cutting sternal needle.<sup>33</sup>

### Motion Analysis

Five Qualisys Pro Reflex (Qualisys AB) high-speed cameras (120 Hz) were used to record the motion of the retroreflective, bone-embedded marker clusters as previously reported.<sup>31,32</sup> Prior to testing, the cameras underwent a multiaspect calibration resulting in a spatial resolution of 0.043 m. Anatomical landmarks were used to calibrate the reference frame with respect to the technical (boneembedded) marker clusters using a pointed wand in accordance with International Society of Biomechanics (ISB)



**Figure 3.** Orientation of the specimens and the load cell coordinates (the axes for the 6–degree of freedom [DoF] load cell with the negative *x*-axis going into the plane). The load cell axes are shown in the negative direction, as they highlight forces in compression (tension in positive direction).

guidelines.<sup>49</sup> The calibrated scapular landmarks were calculated as outlined by Meskers et al<sup>26</sup> to determine the instant center of rotation of the GH joint ( $COR_{GH}$ ) within the scapular reference system. The 0 point was defined as the location of  $COR_{GH}$  with the arm hanging at the torso's side. The exact angle of shoulder ABD (arm position) was recorded as an independent variable using a digital inclinometer (US Digital).

# Humeral Load and Subacromial Contact Pressure Analysis

A nano-25, 6-DoF load cell (ATI Industrial Automation) was mounted between the cut end of the humerus and the actuator arm (upper frame) to measure the humeral load in all 3 axes (Figure 3). Data acquisition was performed using Lab-View (National Instruments) at a sampling rate at of 10 Hz.

A pressure transducer film (model 5051; Tekscan Inc) was used to measure contact pressure in the subacromial space. The film was calibrated and then placed on the undersurface of the coracoacromial arch from the coracoid process anteriorly to the posterior edge of the acromion. The film was inserted from the lateral aspect of the acromion (50-yard line) and was sutured to the coracoacromial ligament and the periosteum on the posterior edge of the acromion.

# Statistical Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the data for normality. GH translation, humeral load, and contact pressure were recorded continuously throughout the 3 repetitions of ABD for baseline and plicated. The average GH translation was plotted over time to calculate the total translation and the area under the curve (AUC) during each motion segment. The motion was divided into five 10° segments (60°, 70°, 80°, 90°, and 100°) to facilitate statistical analysis. A linear mixed model analysis of variance was conducted for *x*-, *y*-, and *z*-axis translations, where the sample was the repeated effect and the group and angle were fixed. AUC was calculated for each condition on each axis using the trapezoidal rule to appropriately assess the pathdependent motion (Matlab version 12; MathWorks). The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare AUCs between conditions. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS (version 21.0; IBM). Two-tailed *P* values of <.05 were considered statistically significant.

# RESULTS

# Humeral Load (Load Cell)

Posterior plication decreased the posterior and increased the anterior force on the humerus when the arm was abducted to 90° compared with baseline (P = .04) (Figure 4A and Table 1). At all other positions, the anteroposterior moment showed no statistical difference (P > .05 at 60°, 70°, 80°, and 100°) (Figure 4A and Table 1). In comparison with the normal shoulder, the posterior suture plication increased the superior load on the humerus throughout ABD (P < .001 at 60°, 70°, 80°, 90°, and 100°) (Figure 4B and Table 1). In the load cell *z*-axis (medial-lateral), the force on the humerus was significantly lower at 70°, 80°, and 90° of ABD when compared with baseline (P < .001) (Figure 4C and Table 1).



Figure 4. Load cell versus arm position for (A) x, (B) y, and (C) z planes between 60° and 100° of abduction. Comp., compression.

		TABLE 1		
Load Cell, Tekscan	Contact Pressure,	, and Glenohumeral	Center of Rotation	Displacement Data
	for the (	Control and Plicated	l Groups <sup>a</sup>	

	Normal, Mean $\pm$ SD	Plicated, Mean $\pm$ SD	Delta	P Value
Load cell x, N				
60	$-0.27\pm7.20$	$-4.56\pm5.63$	-4.29	>.05
<b>70</b> °	$3.13\pm7.09$	$-1.53\pm6.08$	-4.65	>.05
80°	$2.04\pm7.09$	$-3.51\pm6.52$	-5.55	>.05
<b>90</b> °	$3.84 \pm 7.07$	$-3.87\pm7.47$	-7.72	.04
$100^{\circ}$	$0.01\pm 6.19$	$12.12\pm5.88$	12.11	>.05
Load cell y, N				
60°	$-11.84\pm5.72$	$10.67 \pm 8.65$	22.50	<.001
$70^{\circ}$	$-13.00\pm5.27$	$8.2\pm6.52$	21.20	<.001
80°	$-12.73\pm5.23$	$8.50\pm7.01$	21.23	<.001
<b>90</b> °	$-14.00\pm4.89$	$8.63\pm6.40$	22.63	<.001
100°	$-13.86\pm5.46$	$16.62\pm8.38$	30.48	<.001
Load cell $z$ , N				
<b>60</b> °	$11.66\pm6.69$	$-9.57 \pm 13.51$	-21.23	>.05
<b>70</b> °	$18.29\pm7.94$	$-18.41 \pm 11.56$	-36.70	<.001
80°	$24.65\pm10.11$	$-15.02\pm8.93$	-39.67	<.001
<b>90</b> °	$35.65\pm10.09$	$1.41 \pm 10.71$	-34.24	<.001
100°	$52.50 \pm 8.92$	$34.64\pm11.12$	-17.86	>.05
CONT press, N				
<b>60</b> °	$1.03\pm0.19$	$1.03\pm0.21$	0.01	>.05
$70^{\circ}$	$0.83\pm0.14$	$0.75\pm0.13$	-0.08	>.05
80°	$0.69\pm0.10$	$0.62\pm0.08$	-0.07	>.05
<b>90</b> °	$0.81\pm0.12$	$0.76\pm0.12$	-0.05	>.05
$100^{\circ}$	$0.88\pm0.11$	$0.88 \pm 0.17$	0.00	>.05
$COR_{GH}, x, mm$				
$60^{\circ}$	$-0.00\pm0.31$	$-0.04\pm0.25$	-0.04	>.05
$70^{\circ}$	$-1.10\pm0.53$	$-1.20\pm0.57$	-0.10	>.05
80°	$-1.14\pm0.56$	$-1.74\pm0.90$	-0.61	>.05
<b>90</b> °	$-1.56\pm0.99$	$-2.05\pm0.95$	-0.49	>.05
$100^{\circ}$	$-1.82\pm1.01$	$-1.99 \pm 1.07$	-0.18	>.05
$COR_{GH}$ , y, mm				
$60^{\circ}$	$-0.42\pm0.47$	$-0.51\pm0.37$	-0.09	>.05
$70^{\circ}$	$-0.58\pm1.12$	$-0.72\pm1.12$	-0.15	>.05
80°	$-1.13\pm2.00$	$-2.47 \pm 1.88$	-1.34	>.05
90°	$-1.68\pm2.61$	$-2.96\pm2.48$	-1.28	>.05
$100^{\circ}$	$-1.71\pm2.68$	$-2.56\pm2.65$	-0.85	>.05
$\mathrm{COR}_{\mathrm{GH}}, z, \mathrm{mm}$				
60°	$-0.28\pm0.10$	$-0.29 \pm 0.39$	-0.01	>.05
70°	$-2.98\pm0.74$	$-3.20 \pm 1.11$	-0.22	>.05
80°	$-5.14 \pm 1.07$	$-5.33 \pm 1.89$	-0.19	>.05
90°	$-7.03 \pm 1.74$	$-7.61 \pm 2.50$	-0.58	>.05
$100^{\circ}$	$-0.80\pm1.82$	$-8.53\pm2.65$	-0.73	.01

 $^a\mathrm{CONT}$  press, Tekscan contact pressure;  $\mathrm{COR}_\mathrm{GH},$  glenohumeral center of rotation.

#### The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine

#### Subacromial Contact Pressure (Tekscan)

There was no change in the subacromial contact pressure during ABD following posterior plication in the intact shoulder (Figure 5 and Table 1).

## Glenohumeral Kinematics (QUALYSIS Motion Capture System)

The posterior soft tissue plication did not significantly alter GH kinematics during passive ABD when examining an intact shoulder (Figure 6, A and B, and Table 1). The plication did result in a small increase (<1 mm) in superior translation that was statistically different at 100° of ABD (P = .01) (Figure 6C and Table 1). However, given the effect size of this change, its clinical relevance is uncertain.

Using an AUC analysis, plication did not affect the motion trajectory when compared with the baseline condition for all 3 axes (P = .26, .84, and .88 for *x*-, *y*-, and *z*-axes, respectively) (Table 2).

All data were normally distributed, as assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test (P > .05 for all cases).

#### DISCUSSION

Subacromial impingement is the most common disorder of the shoulder, accounting for 44% to 65% of all shoulder complaints.<sup>45</sup> When a posterior capsular contracture is present, internal rotation and ABD are limited. This change is believed to increase anterior and superior GH translation resulting in an increase in the subacromial contact pressure. We sought to quantify the effect of a posterior capsular contracture on subacromial contact pressure and GH kinematics during passive ABD of an intact cadaveric shoulder using an automated testing apparatus.<sup>12,20,23</sup>

While prior cadaveric studies have described an increase in subacromial contact during passive shoulder ABD and external rotation,<sup>2,3,9</sup> these changes were not observed in an intact cadaveric shoulder. This discrepancy may highlight the importance of the joint capsule's integrity and the joint's negative intra-articular pressure during cadaveric assessment of GH motion. Additionally, the difference may result from our use of an automated robotic system with continuous, real-time data collection. Interestingly, the humeral loading and kinematic data correspond to the in vivo behavior of the normal shoulder at baseline<sup>1,47</sup> and the changes in humeral loading after the posterior soft tissue plication mirror that has been described during active joint motion.<sup>48</sup> Werner et al<sup>46</sup> found that these changes did not significantly alter GH translations during ABD.

Our results do not demonstrate a significant change in GH motion or subacromial contact pressure following posterior plication. In contrast to the results published by Poitras et al,<sup>39</sup> Mihata et al,<sup>29</sup> and Peltier et al,<sup>38</sup> our study showed a significant decrease in the superior displacement in the sagittal plane at 100° of ABD, while the center of contact and the magnitude of the contact pressure in the subacromial space before and after capsular plication demonstrated no discernible changes.



**Figure 5.** Subacromial pressure versus arm position between 60° and 100° of abduction.

The forces experienced at the load cell are measurements of the end-effector forces that are intrinsically related to the capsular integrity of the GH joint and thus provide a representation of the forces experienced at the humeral head. Our results demonstrate significant decrease in anterior and lateral forces measured at the load cell in the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral directions during ABD, suggesting increased compression, or load, at articulation (Figure 4, A-C). Plication resulted in a significant increase in axial load throughout ABD (Figure 4B).

Measuring subacromial contact pressure during ABD is an effective proxy for simulating shoulder impingement.<sup>17,35,37,39,46,50,51</sup> Interestingly, the posterior capsular plication resulted in no significant difference in subacromial contact pressure. While there was a maximum at  $60^{\circ}$ and  $100^{\circ}$  of ABD—the beginning and end of the motion the contact pressure decreased until it reached a minimum at  $80^{\circ}$  before climbing again to  $100^{\circ}$ . This trend may illustrate the interplay of the humeral head's ovoid geometry the ABD angle and subacromial pressure.

Similarly, Poitras et al<sup>39</sup> found that tightening the posterior capsule did not increase the subacromial contact pressure when loaded in discrete static steps. While our data were captured continuously, the findings are consistent with their claim that increased tightness of the posterior capsule does not cause an increase in subacromial contact pressure when the scapula is fixed (rigid).

As with any cadaveric model, this study has limitations. First, 6 shoulders represents a relatively small sample size. Despite this shortcoming, the observed trends in contact pressures and compressional forces were present throughout, as was the absolute displacement relative to arm position. In this study, 6 healthy, male, left shoulders were evaluated, but comparisons were not made to the contralateral limb. In the literature, 6 specimens have provided adequate insight.<sup>1,7,36</sup>

Second, the precision of the measurements of the GH displacement depends on the reliability of the specimen's calibration. Because this process highlights individual anatomic landmarks on each specimen, there is inherent



Figure 6. Glenohumeral center of rotation versus arm position for (A) x, (B) y, and (C) z planes between 60° and 100° of abduction.

 
 TABLE 2

 Area Under the Curve Data for Motion Segments in the x, y, and z Directions

Mation		Area Under the Curve, mm, Mean $\pm$ SD			
Segment		x-Axis	y-Axis	z-Axis	
60°-70°	Control	$1.168 \pm 0.934$	$3.922\pm2.927$	$2.699 \pm 1.528$	
	Plicated	$1.25\pm0.924$	$4.035\pm2.838$	$2.843 \pm 1.444$	
$70^{\circ}$ - $80^{\circ}$	Control	$1.506\pm1.273$	$3.896 \pm 1.886$	$2.194 \pm 1.052$	
	Plicated	$1.151\pm0.958$	$3.595 \pm 1.938$	$2.123 \pm 1.008$	
80°-90°	Control	$2.456 \pm 1.55$	$3.297 \pm 1.745$	$2.276 \pm 1.69$	
	Plicated	$2.552 \pm 1.474$	$3.237 \pm 1.558$	$2.499 \pm 1.604$	
$90^{\circ}$ - $100^{\circ}$	Control	$1.705\pm1.371$	$1.551\pm1.668$	$1.498\pm0.829$	
	Plicated	$1.862 \pm 1.06$	$1.817 \pm 1.624$	$1.584\pm0.795$	

variability because they are landmarks rather than discrete points.<sup>6</sup> The cadaveric shoulders offer a representation of the material and mechanical characteristics observed in vivo.<sup>27</sup>

With the scapula rigidly fixed, each specimen's range of motion was limited 40° of ABD. While the model affords the opportunity to examine various motion patterns, this investigation focused on ABD to best understand the interplay of GH motion, scapular kinematics, and subacromial impingement. Moreover, the effects of rotator cuff forces were not replicated in this passive model. Their relevance in extreme ranges of motion has not been questioned.<sup>21</sup> The results and conclusions of this study should be interpreted with caution. Our system is capable of simulating gross motion through elevation, rotation, and spatial translation of anatomical landmarks. However, in vivo ABD represents a complex interaction of muscles acting over various joints in concert, making the individual contributions of various factors difficult to predict. However, this testing system offers a model of ABD that accurately represents the current clinical test for impingement.

Future investigations would benefit from dynamic rotator cuff loading during ABD as well as controlled scapulothoracic motion. These additions may help provide a comprehensive understanding of shoulder kinematics by more accurately simulating in vivo motion.

In this study, the scapula was rigidly held to isolate GH motion during ABD of the humerus. Posterior soft tissue

plication did not alter GH motion and, as a result, no significant change in subacromial contact pressure was observed. Suture plication constrained the joint's motion, drawing the humeral head closer to the glenoid, increasing the load at the articulation (Figure 4C). These findings suggest that a posterior capsular contracture may cause increased subacromial contact pressure (impingement) due to compensatory change in scapulothoracic motion rather than a change in GH motion. Future studies with an intact shoulder and mobile scapula are needed to address this concern.

This investigation demonstrates that posterior capsular plication increases the axial load on the shoulder joint during ABD. While a significant difference from baseline was observed in the plicated condition, posterior capsular plication did not change GH motion or subacromial contact pressure significantly. In future studies, the effects of physiologically relevant peripheral musculoskeletal forces may offer greater insight into how a posterior capsular plication changes the shoulder's motion to increase subacromial forces. Clinicians will benefit from this understanding of GH mechanics, which will help patients with shoulder pain and outlet impingement.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The team acknowledges Mr John Guarino from Tekscan for his valuable help and support with sensor selection.

#### REFERENCES

- Alberta FG, Elattrache NS, Mihata T, McGarry MH, Tibone JE, Lee TQ. Arthroscopic anteroinferior suture plication resulting in decreased glenohumeral translation and external rotation. Study of a cadaver model. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006;88:179-187.
- Brossmann J, Preidler KW, Pedowitz RA, White LM, Trudell D, Resnick D. Shoulder impingement syndrome: influence of shoulder position on rotator cuff impingement—an anatomic study. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1996;167:1511-1515.
- Burns WC 2nd, Whipple TL. Anatomic relationships in the shoulder impingement syndrome. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1993;294:96-102.
- Campbell ST, Ecklund KJ, Chu EH, McGarry MH, Gupta R, Lee TQ. The role of pectoralis major and latissimus dorsi muscles in a biomechanical model of massive rotator cuff tear. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2014;23:1136-1142.

- Clabbers KM, Kelly JD, Bader D, et al. Effect of posterior capsule tightness on glenohumeral translation in the late-cocking phase of pitching. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2007;16:41-49.
- Della Croce U, Leardini A, Chiari L, Cappozzo A. Human movement analysis using stereophotogrammetry. Part 4: assessment of anatomical landmark misplacement and its effects on joint kinematics. *Gait Posture*. 2005;21:226-237.
- Difelice GS, Williams RJ 3rd, Cohen MS, Warren RF. The accessory posterior portal for shoulder arthroscopy: description of technique and cadaveric study. *Arthroscopy*. 2001;17:888-891.
- Entezari V, Trechsel BL, Dow WA, et al. Design and manufacture of a novel system to simulate the biomechanics of basic and pitching shoulder motion. *Bone Joint Res.* 2012;1(5):78-85.
- Flatow EL, Soslowsky LJ, Ticker JB, et al. Excursion of the rotator cuff under the acromion. Patterns of subacromial contact. *Am J Sports Med.* 1994;22:779-788.
- Gerber C, Werner CM, Macy JC, Jacob HA, Nyffeler RW. Effect of selective capsulorrhaphy on the passive range of motion of the glenohumeral joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85-A:48-55.
- Grossman MG, Tibone JE, McGarry MH, Schneider DJ, Veneziani S, Lee TQ. A cadaveric model of the throwing shoulder: a possible etiology of superior labrum anterior-to-posterior lesions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2005;87:824-831.
- Harryman DT 2nd, Sidles JA, Clark JM, McQuade KJ, Gibb TD, Matsen FA 3rd. Translation of the humeral head on the glenoid with passive glenohumeral motion. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1990;72: 1334-1343.
- Hartzler RU, Barlow JD, An KN, Elhassan BT. Biomechanical effectiveness of different types of tendon transfers to the shoulder for external rotation. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2012;21: 1370-1376.
- 14. Heyworth BE, Williams RJ 3rd. Internal impingement of the shoulder. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37:1024-1037.
- Huffman GR, Itamura JM, McGarry MH, et al. Neer Award 2006: biomechanical assessment of inferior tuberosity placement during hemiarthroplasty for four-part proximal humeral fractures. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2008;17:189-196.
- Huffman GR, Tibone JE, McGarry MH, Phipps BM, Lee YS, Lee TQ. Path of glenohumeral articulation throughout the rotational range of motion in a thrower's shoulder model. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34: 1662-1669.
- Hyvonen P, Lantto V, Jalovaara P. Local pressures in the subacromial space. Int Orthop. 2003;27:373-377.
- Koester MC, George MS, Kuhn JE. Shoulder impingement syndrome. Am J Med. 2005;118:452-455.
- Lewis JS. Rotator cuff tendinopathy/subacromial impingement syndrome: is it time for a new method of assessment? *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43:259-264.
- Lin JJ, Lim HK, Yang JL. Effect of shoulder tightness on glenohumeral translation, scapular kinematics, and scapulohumeral rhythm in subjects with stiff shoulders. J Orthop Res. 2006;24:1044-1051.
- Liu J, Hughes RE, Smutz WP, Niebur G, Nan-An K. Roles of deltoid and rotator cuff muscles in shoulder elevation. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 1997;12:32-38.
- 22. Lo YP, Hsu YC, Chan KM. Epidemiology of shoulder impingement in upper arm sports events. *Br J Sports Med.* 1990;24:173-177.
- Ludewig PM, Cook TM. Translations of the humerus in persons with shoulder impingement symptoms. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2002; 32:248-259.
- Maenhout A, Van Eessel V, Van Dyck L, Vanraes A, Cools A. Quantifying acromiohumeral distance in overhead athletes with glenohumeral internal rotation loss and the influence of a stretching program. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40:2105-2112.
- McGarry MH, Nguyen ML, Quigley RJ, Hanypsiak B, Gupta R, Lee TQ. The effect of long and short head biceps loading on glenohumeral joint rotational range of motion and humeral head position [published online September 26, 2014]. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* doi:10.1007/s00167-014-3318-5.

- Meskers CG, van der Helm FC, Rozendaal LA, Rozing PM. In vivo estimation of the glenohumeral joint rotation center from scapular bony landmarks by linear regression. J Biomech. 1998;31:93-96.
- Meyer KE, Saether EE, Soiney EK, Shebeck MS, Paddock KL, Ludewig PM. Three-dimensional scapular kinematics during the throwing motion. J Appl Biomech. 2008;24:24-34.
- Mihata T, Gates J, McGarry MH, Lee J, Kinoshita M, Lee TQ. Effect of rotator cuff muscle imbalance on forceful internal impingement and peel-back of the superior labrum: a cadaveric study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37:2222-2227.
- Mihata T, Gates J, McGarry MH, Neo M, Lee TQ. Effect of posterior shoulder tightness on internal impingement in a cadaveric model of throwing. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2015;23:548-554.
- Mihata T, Jun BJ, Bui CN, et al. Effect of scapular orientation on shoulder internal impingement in a cadaveric model of the cocking phase of throwing. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2012;94:1576-1583.
- Mueller AM, Entezari V, Rosso C, et al. The effect of simulated scapular winging on glenohumeral translations. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2013;22:986-992.
- Mueller AM, Rosso C, Entezari V, et al. The effect of supraspinatus tears on glenohumeral translations in passive pitching motion. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42:2455-2462.
- Muraki T, Yamamoto N, Zhao KD, et al. Effect of posteroinferior capsule tightness on contact pressure and area beneath the coracoacromial arch during pitching motion. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38:600-607.
- Muraki T, Yamamoto N, Zhao KD, et al. Effects of posterior capsule tightness on subacromial contact behavior during shoulder motions. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2012;21:1160-1167.
- Nordt WE 3rd, Garretson RB 3rd, Plotkin E. The measurement of subacromial contact pressure in patients with impingement syndrome. *Arthroscopy*. 1999;15:121-125.
- O'Connell PW, Nuber GW, Mileski RA, Lautenschlager E. The contribution of the glenohumeral ligaments to anterior stability of the shoulder joint. *Am J Sports Med.* 1990;18:579-584.
- Payne LZ, Deng XH, Craig EV, Torzilli PA, Warren RF. The combined dynamic and static contributions to subacromial impingement. A biomechanical analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25:801-808.
- Peltier KE, McGarry MH, Tibone JE, Lee TQ. Effects of combined anterior and posterior plication of the glenohumeral ligament complex for the repair of anterior glenohumeral instability: a biomechanical study. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2012;21:902-909.
- Poitras P, Kingwell SP, Ramadan O, Russell DL, Uhthoff HK, Lapner P. The effect of posterior capsular tightening on peak subacromial contact pressure during simulated active abduction in the scapular plane. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2010;19:406-413.
- Quinn TP, Mote CD Jr. A six-degree-of-freedom acoustic transducer for rotation and translation measurements across the knee. J Biomech Eng. 1990;112:371-378.
- Rosso C, Muller AM, Entezari V, et al. Preliminary evaluation of a robotic apparatus for the analysis of passive glenohumeral joint kinematics. J Orthop Surg Res. 2013;8:24.
- Shiavi R, Limbird T, Frazer M, Stivers K, Strauss A, Abramovitz J. Helical motion analysis of the knee–II. Kinematics of uninjured and injured knees during walking and pivoting. *J Biomech.* 1987; 20:653-665.
- Struyf F, Nijs J, Baeyens JP, Mottram S, Meeusen R. Scapular positioning and movement in unimpaired shoulders, shoulder impingement syndrome, and glenohumeral instability. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2011;21:352-358.
- Ticker JB, Beim GM, Warner JJ. Recognition and treatment of refractory posterior capsular contracture of the shoulder. *Arthroscopy*. 2000;16:27-34.
- van der Windt DA, Koes BW, de Jong BA, Bouter LM. Shoulder disorders in general practice: incidence, patient characteristics, and management. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1995;54:959-964.
- Werner CM, Blumenthal S, Curt A, Gerber C. Subacromial pressures in vivo and effects of selective experimental suprascapular nerve block. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2006;15:319-323.

- 47. Werner CM, Nyffeler RW, Jacob HA, Gerber C. The effect of capsular tightening on humeral head translations. *J Orthop Res*. 2004;22:194-201.
- Westerheide KJ, Dopirak RM, Snyder SJ. Arthroscopic anterior stabilization and posterior capsular plication for anterior glenohumeral instability: a report of 71 cases. *Arthroscopy*. 2006;22:539-547.
- 49. Wu G, van der Helm FC, Veeger HE, et al. ISB recommendation on definitions of joint coordinate systems of various joints for the

reporting of human joint motion-part II: shoulder, elbow, wrist and hand. *J Biomech*. 2005;38:981-992.

- Wuelker N, Plitz W, Roetman B. Biomechanical data concerning the shoulder impingement syndrome. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1994;303: 242-249.
- Wuelker N, Roetman B, Roessig S. Coracoacromial pressure recordings in a cadaveric model. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 1995;4:462-467.